



## Case series

## Papillomavirus infection and preterm birth: chronicle of a broken relationship? (Case series and review of the literature)

Laura Giambanco, Vito Iannone, Maddalena Borriello, Antonella Federica Montalto

**Corresponding author:** Laura Giambanco, Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Santo Antonio Hospital, Trapani, Italy. giambanco1969@gmail.com

Received: 31 May 2020 - Accepted: 27 Jun 2020 - Published: 23 Jul 2020

Keywords: Papilloma virus, HPV infection, pregnancy, preterm birth, PPROM

**Copyright:** Laura Giambanco et al. PAMJ Clinical Medicine (ISSN: 2707-2797). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution International 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Cite this article:** Laura Giambanco et al. Papillomavirus infection and preterm birth: chronicle of a broken relationship? (Case series and review of the literature). PAMJ Clinical Medicine. 2020;3(133). 10.11604/pamj-cm.2020.3.133.23881

Available online at: https://www.clinical-medicine.panafrican-med-journal.com//content/article/3/133/full

Papillomavirus infection and preterm birth: chronicle of a broken relationship? (Case series and review of the literature)

Laura Giambanco<sup>1,&</sup>, Vito Iannone<sup>1</sup>, Maddalena Borriello<sup>1</sup>, Antonella Federica Montalto<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Santo Antonio Hospital, Trapani, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, University of Messina, Messina, Sicily, Italy

#### \*Corresponding author

Laura Giambanco, Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Santo Antonio Hospital, Trapani, Italy

#### Abstract

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is widespread. Approximately 40 HPV serotypes infect ano-genital area, but most infections are asymptomatic and can get cleared spontaneously. HPV clearance is modified during pregnancy. Many information have been published about HPV infection and preterm birth, unfortunately the results are often not corresponding. The aim of this study was to understand whether there is an association between HPV infection and preterm birth. In our study we reported results about 20 pregnant women admitted for preterm birth. We assessed if and how papillomavirus cervical





affects pregnancy outcome. infection HPV prevalence in pregnant women in this case series was 45% (9/20), while 55% pregnant women were found HPV-negative (11/20). There was no difference between HPV positive group and HPV negative group in terms of gestational age at delivery, neonatal weight, Apgar score. Our data, even though from a very small series, confirm the absence of different outcomes due to HPV infection. It has been reported that women with H-SIL have a higher baseline risk for PTB than general population and treatment for H-SIL probably increase this risk further. The research on HPV and PTB should go straight to this direction.

#### Introduction

Papillomavirus (HPV) is a double-stranded DNA virus, in humans it can cause infections in multilayer squamous epithelium of the skin and/or mucosae [1]. HPV is the most common sexually transmitted infection in adults [2]. The highest incidence rates occur in young adults, both men and women. Among 150 serotypes, there are lowrisk and high-risk HPV, related to cervical, vaginal, vulvar lesions and cancers. Approximately 40 HPV serotypes infect ano-genital region [1], but most infections are asymptomatic and can get cleared spontaneously because of normal competent immune system's response [3]. Young women aged 20-35 are at higher risk of HPV infection, furthermore this is the age when women are more sexually active and can get pregnant [3]. Pregnancy can promote the persistence of HPV infection because of specific hormonal milieu and immunological "tolerance" process [2]. Recent reports have been published about HPV infection and adverse pregnancy outcomes (miscarriages, PPROM, pre-term birth) [4-6], with not homogeneous results [7-14]. Indeed most of the studies were too much heterogeneous: different examined sample (Pap smear, HPV DNA cervical swab, amniotic fluid, placenta), variable timing of sampling (during pregnancy, post-partum, within 3 years to delivery), mechanism of action evaluated (cervical lesion, placental and membranes

different infection), moreover there were outcomes (preterm-birth, PPROM). From the latter data it seems that major risk factor for pre-term birth could lay on HPV-related cervical H-SIL [14]. Thus HPV-infection and high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) may alter cervical function and competence, possibly increasing the risk of intrauterine infection [15,16]. Both uterus and growing fetus are protected from ascending infection by the cervix, which controls and limits microbial access by the production of mucus, cytokines and anti-microbial peptides (AMPs). If this barrier is compromised, bacteria may enter into uterine cavity leading to preterm birth [16]. In the present study we investigated the prevalence of HPV-DNA in a series of 20 pregnant women admitted for high risk pre-term birth at different gestational age (2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester) and followed until delivery (pre-term or at term). We assessed if and how papillomavirus cervical infection affected pregnancy outcome. Pre-term birth diagnosis was based on Italian society of Obstetrics and Gynecology definition "birth before 36 6/7 weeks of gestation" [17].

## **Methods**

Twenty women admitted for threatened PTB were asked for previous Pap smear or HPV status and they signed an informed consent, form September 2019 to December 2019. Those women who were previously diagnosed with HPV infection or had low or high SIL were excluded from this series. Inclusion criteria were: diagnosed threatened pre tem birth, hospital admission, previous normal Pap smear. Sample collection: cervical citology samples were collected by dry swabs, before performing transvaginal scan and/or obstetrical physical evaluation. An Obstetrics and Gynecology resident was instructed to vaginal samples. No lubricants nor saline infusion were used in order to reduce vaginal discomfort. Swabs were immediately put in a sterile plastic container with phosphate buffer saline solution (20cc), shaken, then removed. Storage was kept not underneath 4°C. HPV-DNA extraction and typing: viral DNA was extracted by





magnetic beads and amplified in real time multiplex. PCR amplification detected 14 high risk types (16,18,31,33,35,39,45,51,52,56,58,59,66,68), with a clinic sensibility of 97.5%. Low risk HPV types were revealed but no typed (test result: positive, unknown type).

### Results

A total of 20 samples were collected and examined. HPV prevalence in pregnant women in this case series was 45% (9/20), while 55% pregnant women were found HPV-negative (11/20). All samples were positive for high risk HPV type, but one. (Resulted positive for low risk HPV). Among high-risk HPV positive pregnant women three of them showed coinfection: 45-52, 39-56, 35-59. Most frequent type in this short series was HPV45 (3/9) followed by HPV16 (2/9) (Table 1). Three HPV negative women and three HPV positive women had a preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), respectively 27% and 33%. There was no difference between HPV positive group and HPV negative group in terms of gestational age at delivery, neonatal weight, Apgar score (Table 2). The sample size is guite small, but we decided to stop the study because of the costs of HPV DNA Furthermore evaluation. management and treatment didn't differ between positive or negative cases.

#### Discussion

Human Papillomavirus infection is widespread; lifetime probability of genital HPV infection is estimated to be more than 80% [2]. It's commonly well known that almost 80% of HPV infections resolve spontaneously within 2 years, but pregnancy represents a condition with altered and slow viral clearance [4]. Given the high clearance rate of HPV genital infections and the different reaction of immune system during pregnancy, measuring viral exposure out of the pregnancy could lead to bias and not clear results [18]. More bias could come up because of heterogeneity of studied population, type of samples, outcomes,

kind of studies (review, cross sectional case series..). Thus published results are often in contrast and inconsistent (Table 3). Lawton [18] reported reduced risk of preterm birth in women vaccinated against HPV. Mosbah and Ambuhl studying a population of pregnant women showed a relationship between HPV infection and poor pregnancy outcome [13,19]. A review by Huang et al. demonstrates higher risk for preterm birth due to HPV infection only for Caucasian women, not for Asian population [12]. But it's mandatory to remind that HPV infection could be a source of anxiety for pregnant women and their family [2]. Health professional should have the possibility to reassure pregnant women asking for their own HPV infection and related pregnancy risks. Despite the small number of this case series, in our opinion it represents the actual scenario. Latter data from Aldhous et al. [14] from Scottish population registry demonstrate that HPV infection doesn't involve any adverse pregnancy outcome, but H-SIL and related therapies on the cervix do. In absence on any associations between HR - HPV infections alone or low grade cervical disease, and considering that most of high grade cervical lesions would have been treated, Authors [14] postulated that H-SIL treatment represent a risk factor for preterm birth. Hypothesis supported previously by many authors who considered Leep as preterm birth risk factor [20-22]. Our data, even though from a very small series, confirm the absence of different outcomes due to HPV infection. Limitations of our data come from small size of study population, timing of HPV testing at the moment of PPROM or threaten of preterm labor.

## Conclusion

Papilloma virus studies in relation to preterm birth PTB prevention and management represent a great challenge. Early identification of high risk women would allow prophilactic measures, reducing social and health costs. HPV infection prevalence in general population is variable but higher than PTB prevalence rate worldwide. HPV prevalence has been demonstrated to be dependent on the tissue





type tested and the geographical location of the study population analyzed. However, the number of studies investigating HPV infection on material from spontaneous abortions and spontaneous preterm deliveries is limited [19]. We are aware that pathogenetic mechanism of first trimester abortion and PTB, even very early, could be totally different, in terms of papillomavirus action. It's well established and reported women with CIN (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia) have a higher baseline risk for PTB than the general population and the treatment for CIN probably increase this risk further. The risk for PTB is probably higher when excisional techniques are used than for ablative treatments. Also, the risk of PTB appears to increase with multiple treatments and increasing amounts of tissue removed [23]. It should be kept in mind that a simple detection of papilloma virus never is equal to a real causative role in the adverse outcome of a pregnancy [19]. Based on Ambuhl's review, it could be stated that HPV is more prevalent in pregnancy with adverse outcome. But it could be speculated as well that pregnancies with adverse outcome represent a bias because considering only at risk population group. Case series of this study is a clear example: among PTB high risk women (diagnosed by cervicometry and modification of cervix) HPV prevalence has resulted 45%. Accepting a role for HPV in preterm labor's pathogenesis, secondary biomolecular changes or hiatrogenic weakening of the cervix we can presume that HPV vaccination could lead to significant preterm birth reduction. As а consequence the potential global public health impact could be considerable [18].

#### What is known about this topic

• Women with CIN (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia) have a higher baseline risk for PTB than the general population. Simple detection of papilloma virus never is equal to a real causative role in the adverse outcome of a pregnancy. HPV is more prevalent in pregnancy with adverse outcome.

#### What this study adds

• Absence of different pregnancy outcomes due to HPV infection. CIN treatment could have consequences correlated to PTB.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### **Authors' contributions**

Laura Giambanco drafted the article. Vito Iannone and Maddalena Borriello enrolled women. Antonella Federica Montalto collected clinical data. All the authors have read and agreed to the final manuscript.

#### **Tables**

**Table 1**: demographic and pregnancy outcome datain HPV-positive group versus HPV-negative group

**Table 2**: neonatal outcome in HPV-positive groupversus negative group

 Table 3: conflicting published data

#### References

- Bonde U, Joergensen JS, Mogensen O, Lamont RF. The potential role of HPV vaccination in the prevention of infectious complications of pregnancy. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2014 Nov;13(11): 1307-16.
   PubMed | Google Scholar
- Niyibizi J, Zanrè N, Mayrand MH, Trottler H. The association between adverse pregnancy outcomes and maternal human papillomavirus infection: a systematic review protocol. Sist Rev. 2017 Mar 11;6(1): 53. PubMed | Google Scholar





- Pandey D, Solletti V, Jain G, Das A, Prasada KS, Acharya S *et al*. Human papillomavirus infection in pregnancy: prevalence and implications. Infectious diseases in Obstet & Gynecol. 2019 Mar 24;2019: 4376902.
   PubMed | Google Scholar
- Liu P, Xu L, Sun Y, Wang Z. The prevalence and risk of human papillomavirus infection in pregnant women. Epidemiol Infect. 2014; 14(8): 1567-78. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Cotton-Caballero A, Dudley D, Ferguson J, Petitt K, Boyle A. Maternal human papillomavirus infection increases the risk of premature rupture of membranes. Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2017: 129(5): S137. Google Scholar
- McDonnold M, Dunn H, Hester A. High risk human papillomavirus at entry to prenatal care and risk of preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014;210(2): 138e1-e5. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Subramaniam A, Lees BF, Becker DA, Tang Y, Khan MJ, Edwards RK. Evaluation of Human Papillomavirus as a risk factor for preterm birth or pregnancy-related hypertension. Obstet Gynecol. 2016;127(2): 233-40.
   PubMed | Google Scholar
- Cho G, Min KJ, Hong HR, Kim S, Hong JH, Lee JK *et al.* High-risk human papillomavirus infection is associated with premature rupture of membranes. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2013;13: 173. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Huang QT, Zhong M, Gao YF, Huang LP, Huang Q, Wang W *et al*. Can HPV vaccine have other health benefits more than cancer prevention? A systematic review of association between cervical HPV infection and preterm birth. J Clin Virol. 2014 Nov;61(3): 321-8. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Hong L, Oshiro BT, Chan PJ. HPV-16 exposed mouse embryos: a potential model for pregnancy wastage. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2013;287(6): 1093-7. PubMed| Google Scholar

- Slatter TL, Hung NG, Clow WM, Royds JA, Devenish CI, Hung NA. A clinicopathological study of episomal papillomavirus infection of the human placenta and pregnancy complications. Mod Pathol. 2015;28(10): 1369-82. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 12. Huang QT, Zhong M, Gao YF, Huang LP, Huang Q, Wang W *et al.* Can HPV vaccine have other health benefits more than cancer prevention? A systematic review of association between cervical HPV infection and preterm birth. J Clin Virol. 2014 Nov;61(3): 321-8. **PubMed** | **Google Scholar**
- Mosbah A, Barakat R, Nabiel Y, Barakat G. High-risk and low-risk human papilloma virus in association to spontaneous preterm labour: a case-control study in a tertiary center. Egypt J Matern Fetal neonatal Med. 2018 Mar; 31(6): 720-5. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Aldhous M, Bhatia R, Pollock R, Vragkos D, Cuschieru K *et al.* HPV infection and preterm birth: a data-linkage study using Scottisch Health data. Wellcome Open Research. 2019;4: 48. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Romero R, Dey SK, Fisher SJ. Preterm labor: one syndrome, many causes. Science. 2014;345(6198): 760-65. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 16. Racicot K, Cardenas I, Wunsche V, Paulomi A, Guller S, Means R *et al*. Viral infection on the pregnant cervix predisposes to ascending bacterial infection. J Immunol. 2013 Jul 15;191(2): 934-941. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 17. SIGO guidelines. Pre-term birth management. 2016. Accessed May 31 2020.
- Lawton B, Hove AS, Turner N, Filoche S, Slatter T, Dvenish C *et al.* Association of prior HPV vaccination with reduced preterm birth: a population based study. Vaccine. 2018 Jan 2;36(1): 134-41. PubMed| Google Scholar

# Article 👌



- 19. Ambuhl LMM, Baandrup U, Dybkaer K, Blaakaer J, Uldbjerg N, Sorensen S. Uman Papillomavirus infection as a possible cause of spontaneous abortion and spontaneous Preterm delivery. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 3086036. **Google Scholar**
- 20. Watson LF, Rayner JA, King J. Intracervical procedure and the risk of subsequent very preterm birth: a case-control study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2012;2: 91(2): 204-10. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Noehr B, Jensen A, Fredriksen K. Loop electrosurgical excison of the cervix and subsequent risk for spontaneous preterm delivery during a 9 year period. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009 Jul;201(1): 33.e1-6.
   PubMed | Google Scholar

- Van Hentenryck M, Noel JC, Simon P. Obstetric and neonatal outcome after surgical treatment of cervical dysplasia. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2012;162(1): 16-20. PubMed | Google Scholar
- 23. Kyrgiou M, Athanasiou A, Kalliala IEJ, Paraskevaidi M, Mitra A, Martin-Hirsch P. Obstetric outcomes after conservative treatment for cervical intraepithelial lesion and early invasive disease. Cochrane Dabase Sist rev. 2017 Nov 2;11(11): CD012847. PubMed | Google Scholar



| Maternal age<br>(years) | PPROM | Gestational age<br>at the time of<br>HPV DNA Test<br>(weeks) | Gestational age | Neonatal<br>weight (grams) | Apgar score | HPV DNA Test   |
|-------------------------|-------|--|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 31                      | No    | 33   | 35              | 2650                       | 9-10        | Negative       |
| 35                      | Yes   | 35   | 35 <i>,</i> 4   | 2500                       | 9-9         | 45             |
| 18                      | No    | 32   | 34,2            | 2330                       | 9-10        | 45, 52         |
| 35                      | Yes   | 31   | 31,3            | 1540                       | 9-10        | Positive       |
| 33                      | No    | 29   | 35              | 2380                       | 9-10        | 16             |
| 28                      | No    | 27   | 38,6            | 3870                       | 9-10        | Negative       |
| 17                      | No    | 29   | 38,3            | 2850                       | 6-8         | 39 <i>,</i> 56 |
| 28                      | Yes   | 31,5   | 33,3            | 1730                       | 8-9         | Negative       |
| 41                      | Yes   | 36   | 36,3            | 3350                       | 9-10        | Negative       |
| 30                      | No    | 28   | 37,1            | 2700                       | 8-9         | 16             |
| 27                      | Yes   | 24   | 27              | 900                        | 5-7         | Negative       |
| 33                      | No    | 27   | 33,1            | 2034                       | 8-10        | 35,59          |
| 39                      | No    | 30   | 36,6            | 2627                       | 9-10        | Negative       |
| 33                      | No    | 28   | 34,4            | 2198                       | 9-10        | Negative       |
| 44                      | Yes   | 29   | 32              | 1860                       | 7-9         | 45             |
| 36                      | No    | 24   | 36,6            | 2900                       | 8-10        | 31             |
| 31                      | No    | 30   | 31,3            | 1720                       | 7-9         | Negative       |
| 29                      | No    | 32   | 37,2            | 2930                       | 9-10        | Negative       |
| 40                      | No    | 28   | 34              | 2430                       | 8-9         | Negative       |
| 24                      | No    | 33   | 38,6            | 3060                       | 9-10        | Negative       |

| Table 2: neonatal outcome in HPV-positive group versus negative group |          |           |  |  |  |
|---|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Neonatal outcome  | HPV+ (9) | HPV- (11) |  |  |  |
| Gestational age at delivery (weeks) (median)                          | 34       | 35        |  |  |  |
| Neonatal weight (grams) (median)                                      | 2340     | 2500      |  |  |  |
| Apgar 1 (median)  | 8        | 9         |  |  |  |
| Apgar 5 (median)  | 7        | 9         |  |  |  |





|  |   |                             | Significative correlation  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Gomez, 2008                                  | Preterm birth and term<br>birth                             | Placenta                    | between HPV infection and PTB  |  |
| Noehr, 2009 Women with previous pregnancies  |   | Register data               | Leep is a risk factor for PTB  |  |
| Zuo ,2011 Pregnant women                     |   | Cervical citology, placenta | HPV infection is risk factor<br>for PTB                              |  |
| Vatson, 2012 Women with previous top, ptb,tb |   | Interview                   | Leep is a risk factor for PTB  |  |
| Naresh, 2012                                 | PPROM   | Amniocentesis               | No relationship with HPV<br>infection                                |  |
| Van Hentenryck, 2012                         | Women with/without<br>Leep                                  | Register data               | Leep is a risk factor for PTB  |  |
| Cho, 2013                                    | Women who had PTB,<br>gestational diabetes,<br>preeclampsia | Cervical samples            | HPV risk factor for PPROM,<br>not for PTB                            |  |
| Huang, 2014                                  | Review  | /                           | HPV infection is a risk factor<br>for PTB only in caucasian<br>women |  |
| Subramaniam, 2016                            | Cervical screening whitin<br>3 years before delivery        | Cervical citology           | No association between HPV infection and PTB                         |  |
| Ambuhl, 2016                                 | Sistematic review   | /                           | HPV infection related to<br>adverse pregnancy outcome                |  |
| Caballero, 2018                              | Cervical screening whitin<br>3 years before delivery        | Cervical citology           | HPV infection related to<br>PPROM                                    |  |
| Mosbah, 2018                                 | Pregnant women  | /                           | High risk HPV are risk factors<br>for PTB                            |  |
| Lawton, 2018                                 | HPV vaccinated population                                   | /                           | Low rate PTB   |  |
| Pandey, 2018                                 | Pregnant women, 1st<br>trimester                            | Cervical citology           | HPV infection risk factor for<br>PPROM                               |  |
| Aldhous, 2019                                | Women who had   | Register data               | Leep is a risk factor for PTB  |  |